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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we have developed some characterizations of gamma vector spaces and proved that the set of all linear gamma transformation forms a gamma ring. Our results are the genetralizations of that of Hiram Paley and Paul M. Weichsel[2]. KEYWORDS: Gamma, Ring, Homomorphism, Generalization, Transformation.

## INTRODUCTION

$N$. Nobusawa [5] introduced the concept of a $\Gamma$-ring which is called the $\Gamma$-ring in the sense of Nobusawa. He obtained an analogue of the Wedderburn's Theorem for $\Gamma$-rings with minimum condition on left ideals. W. E. Barnes [1] gave the definition of a $\Gamma$-ring as a generalization of a ring and he also developed some other concepts of $\Gamma$-rings such as $\Gamma$-homomorphism, prime and primary ideals, m-systems etc. Hiram Paley and Paul M. Weichsel [2] studied classical vector spaces. Here they also developed a number of remarkable results in ring theories.
In this paper, we consider the $\Gamma$-rings due to Barnes and study the analogous results of Hiram Paley and Paul M. Weichsel [2] in $\Gamma$-rings. We also obtain the Wedderburn's Theorem in $\Gamma$-rings which is the generalization of that in [2].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

### 1.1. Definitions:

Gamma Ring: Let M and $\Gamma$ be two additive abelian groups. Suppose that there is a mapping from $\mathrm{M} \times$ $\Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (sending ( $x, \alpha, y$ ) into $x \alpha y$ ) such that
i) $\quad(x+y) \alpha z=x \alpha z+y \alpha z$
ii) $\quad x(\alpha+\beta) z=x \alpha z+x \beta z$
iii) $\quad x \alpha(y+z)=x \alpha y+x \alpha z$
iv) $\quad(x \alpha y) \beta z=x \alpha(y \beta z)$,
where $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then $M$ is called a $\Gamma$-ring.
Ideal of $\Gamma$-rings: $A$ subset $A$ of the $\Gamma$-ring $M$ is a left (right) ideal of $M$ if $A$ is an additive subgroup of $M$ and $\mathrm{M} \Gamma \mathrm{A}=\{\mathrm{c} \alpha \mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{M}, \alpha \in \Gamma, \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}\}(\mathrm{A} \Gamma \mathrm{M})$ is contained in A . If A is both a left and a right ideal of M , then we say that $A$ is an ideal or two sided ideal of $M$.
If $A$ and $B$ are both left (respectively right or two sided) ideals of $M$, then $A+B=\{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is clearly a left (respectively right or two sided) ideal, called the sum of $A$ and $B$. We can say every finite sum of left (respectively right or two sided) ideal of a $\Gamma$-ring is also a left (respectively right or two sided) ideal.
Matrix Gamma Ring: Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-ring and let $M_{m, n}$ and $\Gamma_{n, m}$ denote, respectively, the sets of $m \times n$ matrices with entries from $M$ and set of $n \times m$ matrices with entries from $\Gamma$, then $M_{m, n}$ is a $\Gamma_{n, m}$ ring and multiplication defined by

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \text {, where } \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\sum_{\mathrm{p}} \sum_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ip}} \gamma_{\mathrm{pq}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{qj}} . \text { If } \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n} \text {, then } \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { is a } \Gamma_{\mathrm{n}} \text {-ring. }
$$

$\Gamma$-ring with minimum condition: A $\Gamma$-ring M with identity element 1 is called a $\Gamma$-ring with minimum condition if the ideals of $M$ satisfy the descending chain condition or equivalently if in every non empty set of left ideals of $M$, there exists a left ideal which does not properly contain any other ideal in the set.

Gamma Homomorphism: Let $M$ and $N$ be two $\Gamma$-rings. Let $\varphi$ be a map from $M$ to $N$. Then $\varphi$ is a $\Gamma$ homomorphism if and only if $\varphi(x+y)=\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)$ and $\varphi(x \gamma y)=\varphi(x) \gamma \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If $\varphi$ is one-one and onto, then $\varphi$ is $\Gamma$-isomorphism and it is denoted by $\mathrm{M} \cong \mathrm{N}$.

Division gamma ring: Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-ring. Then $M$ is called a division $\Gamma$-ring if it has an identity element and its only non zero ideal is itself.

Minimal left (right) ideal of a $\Gamma$-ring: Let M be a $\Gamma$-ring. A left (right) ideal A of M is called a minimal left (right) ideal if
i) $A \neq 0$
ii) whenever $\mathrm{A} \supseteq \mathrm{J} \supseteq 0$, J is a left (right) ideal of M , then either $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{J}=0$.

It is clear that if a $\Gamma$-ring $\mathrm{M} \neq 0$ satisfies the minimum condition on left(right) ideals, then M has a minimal left (right) ideal.

Zorn's lemma: Let A be a nonempty partially ordered set in which every totally ordered subset has an upper bound in A. Then A contains at least one maximal element.
$\Gamma$-module: Let M be a $\Gamma$-ring and let $(\mathrm{P},+$ ) be an abelian group. Then P is called a left $\Gamma \mathrm{M}$-module if there exists a $\Gamma$-mapping ( $\Gamma$-composition) from $\mathrm{M} \times \Gamma \times \mathrm{P}$ to P sending ( $\mathrm{m}, \alpha, \mathrm{p}$ ) to m $\alpha \mathrm{p}$ such that
i) $\quad\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \alpha p=m_{1} \alpha p+m_{2} \alpha p$
ii) $\quad m \alpha\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)=m \alpha p_{1}+m \alpha p_{2}$
iii) $\quad\left(m_{1} \alpha m_{2}\right) \beta p=m_{1} \alpha\left(m_{2} \beta p\right)$,
for all $p, p_{1}, p_{2} \in P, m, m_{1}, m_{2} \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.
If in addition, $M$ has an identity 1 and $1 \gamma p=p$ for all $p \in P$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $P$ is called a unital $\Gamma M-$ module.

## 2. $\Gamma$-VECTOR SPACE

2.1. Definition. Let $(\mathrm{V},+$ ) be an abelian group. Let $\Delta$ be a division $\Gamma$-ring with identity 1 and let $\varphi$ : $\Delta \times \Gamma \times \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$, where we denote $\varphi(\delta, \gamma, \mathrm{v})$ by $\delta \gamma \mathrm{v}$. Then V is called a left $\Gamma$-vector space over $\Delta$, if for all $\delta_{1}$, $\delta_{2} \in \Delta, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2} \in \mathrm{~V}$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$, the following hold:
i) $\quad \delta_{1} \gamma\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{2}$
ii)
$\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}\right) \gamma v_{1}=\delta_{1} \gamma v_{1}+\delta_{2} \gamma v_{1}$
iii) $\quad\left(\delta_{1} \beta \delta_{2}\right) \gamma \mathrm{V}_{1}=\delta_{1} \beta\left(\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$
iv) $\quad 1 \gamma v_{1}=v_{1}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We call the elements $v$ of $V$ vectors and the elements $\delta$ of $\Delta$ scalars. We also call $\delta \gamma v$ the scalar multiple of $v$ by $\delta$. Similarly, we can also define right $\Gamma$-vector space over $\Delta$.
2.2. Example: A left (respectively right) $\Gamma$-module over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$ is a left (respectively right) $\Gamma$-vector space over $\Delta$.
2.3. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over $\Delta$. A non empty sub set $U$ of $V$ is called a sub $\Gamma$ Space of V if (i)( $\mathrm{U},+$ ) is a sub group of ( $\mathrm{V},+$ ) (ii) $\delta \gamma \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{U}$ for all $\delta \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Gamma, u \in \mathrm{U}$.
It is clear that $U$ is a sub $\Gamma$-space of $V$ provided that $U$ is closed with respect to the operations of addition in $V$ and scalar multiplication of vectors by scalars.
2.4. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Let $\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{V}$ and for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the vector $v \in V$ can be written as $v=\delta_{1} \gamma v_{1}+\delta_{1} \gamma V_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{n} \gamma v_{n}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{n} \in \Delta$ is called a linear $\gamma-$ combination of the $v_{i}$ 's over $\Delta$. If $v$ is a linear $\gamma$-combination for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $v$ is called a linear $\Gamma$ combination of the $v_{i}$ 's over $\Delta$.
2.5. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then the set of vectors $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{i} \in \Lambda\right\}$ is called linearly $\gamma$-independent over $\Delta$ (or simply $\gamma$-independent) if for each finite sub set
of vectors $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}, \ldots ., \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ of $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{i} \in \Lambda\right\}, \delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots \ldots . .+\delta_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}=0$ implies $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=\ldots \ldots . .=\delta_{\mathrm{n}}=0$. Otherwise, the set $\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$ is called linearly $\gamma$-dependent (or simply $\gamma$-dependent). If $\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$ is $\gamma$ independent for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{i} \in \Lambda\right\}$ is called linearly $\Gamma$-independent. Otherwise the set $\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$ is called linearly $\Gamma$-dependent.
2.6. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Let $G$ be a sub set of $V$. Let $G=$ $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. Then $G$ is said to be a set of generators for $V$ or $G$ spans $V$, if any $v \in V$ is a linear $\Gamma$-combination of vectors in G .
2.7. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. A basis $B$ for $V$ is a subset of $V$ such that
(i) $\quad B$ spans $V$ and
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{B}$ is $\Gamma$-independent.

As a consequence of these definitions, we obtain the following results:
2.8. Theorem. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$ and let B be a basis of V . Then if $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{v} \neq 0$, there exist unique vectors $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}} \in \mathrm{B}$ and unique non zero scalars $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{\mathrm{m}} \in \Delta$ such that $v=\delta_{1} \gamma v_{1}+\delta_{2} \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{\mathrm{m}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ for unique $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
Proof: Suppose $v=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots .+\delta_{\mathrm{m}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}}+\mathrm{k}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{2}}+\ldots .+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}}$. By filling in each expression with $0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's and $0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's respectively, we get
$\mathrm{v}=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots .+\delta_{\mathrm{m}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}}+O \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}}+0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{2}}+\ldots \ldots+0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}}$
$=0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots . .+0 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}}+\mathrm{k}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}}+\mathrm{k}_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{2}}+\ldots . .+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}}$.
In each expression $v$ is a linear $\gamma$-combination of the same vectors. Hence $v=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{2} \gamma v_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots .+\delta_{n} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{i_{1}}+\mathrm{k}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{i_{1}} \ldots . .+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{i_{n}}$.
Then $\left(\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}-\mathrm{k}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right)+\left(\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}-\mathrm{k}_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right)+\ldots+\left(\delta_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)=0$
$\Rightarrow\left(\delta_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{1}\right) \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\left(\delta_{2}-\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots+\left(\delta_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}=0$.
Since B is a $\Gamma$-independent set, then $\delta_{i}-k_{i}=0 ; i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Therefore $\delta_{i}=k_{i} ; i=1,2,3, \ldots, n$. Hence the theorem is proved.
2.9. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. A set $H=\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$ of linearly $\Gamma$ independent vectors in V is called a maximal set of linearly $\Gamma$-independent vectors in V if whenever $\mathrm{H} \subset \mathrm{D} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ (and D has no repetitions), then D is a $\Gamma$-dependent set.
2.10. Definition. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. A set $G$ (without repetitions) of generators of V is called a minimal set of generators if whenever $\mathrm{H} \subset \mathrm{G}$, then H is not a set of generators of $V$.
2.11. Definition. Let V be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. If V has a basis with n elements, then we say that V is finite dimensional of dimension n over $\Delta$ and we denote this by [ $\mathrm{V}: \Delta$ ] $=\mathrm{n}$. If V does not have a finite basis, then we say that V is infinite dimensional and write $[\mathrm{V}: \Delta]=\infty$. We note that if $V=\{0\}$, then $[\mathrm{V}: \Delta]=0$, since empty set is a basis for $\{0\}$.
2.12. Theorem. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Let $B \subseteq V$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\quad \mathrm{B}$ is a basis for V
(ii) $\quad B$ is a minimal set of generators for $V$
(iii) $\quad B$ is a maximal set of linearly $\Gamma$-independent vectors.

Proof: We will give a cyclic proof, that is, we will show that (i) implies (ii), (ii) implies (iii) and (iii) implies (i). Without loss of generality we may assume that $V \neq 0$. For if $V=0$, then $B$ is a empty set satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii).
(i) implies (ii). Since $B$ is a basis of $V$, then clearly $B$ is a set of generators. Now let $H \subset B$ and suppose $b_{i} \in B$, but $b_{i} \notin H$. We must show that $H$ is not a set of generators for $V$. If it were, then there would exist scalars $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{j}$ such that $b_{i}=\delta_{1} \gamma b_{1}+\delta_{2} \gamma b_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{j} \gamma b_{j}$, where $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{j} \in B, b_{i} \neq b_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, j$
and for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus $b_{i}$ is represented as a linear $\Gamma$-combination of vectors of $B$ in two different ways ( $b_{i}=1 \gamma b_{i}$ and $b_{i}=\delta_{1} \gamma b_{1}+\delta_{1} \gamma b_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{j} \gamma b_{j}$ ). By Theorem 2.8, which contradicts that $B$ is a basis of V . Thus H does not generate V . Hence B is a minimal set of generators for V .
(ii) implies (iii). First we show that $B$ is a set of $\Gamma$-independent vectors. Since $V \neq 0$, then it is clear that $0 \notin B$ and that $B$ is non empty. For if $0 \in B$, we can delete 0 and still have a set of generators. Now if $B$ is not a $\Gamma$-independent set, then there exist vectors $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}$ in $B$ and scalars $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{k}$ such that $b_{i}$ $=\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{~b}_{2}+\delta_{3} \gamma \mathrm{~b}_{3}+\ldots+\delta_{k} \gamma \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. But then clearly we can delete $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ from B and still have a set of generators, which contradicts the minimality of $B$. Thus $B$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set.
Now we must show that $B$ is a maximal independent set. Let $B \subset H$ and let $h \in H, h \notin B$. Since $B$ is a set of generators, then $h=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{~b}_{1}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{~b}_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ for some $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots \ldots, \delta_{\mathrm{k}} \in \Delta, \mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \ldots ., \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} \in \mathrm{B}$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $H$ is a $\Gamma$-dependent set of vectors. Thus $B$ is a maximal set of linearly $\Gamma$-independent vectors.
(iii) implies (i). Since $B$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set, then we only need to show that $B$ generates $V$. Let $v \in V$. If we cannot write $v=\delta_{1} \gamma b_{1}+\delta_{2} \gamma b_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{k} \gamma b_{k}$ for some choice $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{k} \in \Delta, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k} \in B$ and unique $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then the set $B \cup\{v\}$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set of vectors, which contradicts the maximality of $B$. Thus $v$ can be written as a linear $\gamma$-combination of elements of $B$ for unique $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $B$ is a basis of $V$. Thus the theorem is proved.
2.13. Theorem. Let $V$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ be a set of linearly $\Gamma$-independent vectors. Let $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \ldots$, $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ be $\mathrm{k}+1$ vectors, each of which is a linear $\Gamma$ combination of $v_{i}^{\prime} s$. Then $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k+1}\right\}$ is a linearly $\Gamma$-dependent set of vectors.
Proof: The proof is by induction on k .
Suppose $\mathrm{k}=1$. Then $\mathrm{u}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{2}=\mathrm{a}_{2} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If either $\mathrm{u}_{1}=0$ or $\mathrm{u}_{2}=0$, then $v_{1}=0$, since $a_{1}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ are not zero. Then the result is trivial. If $\mathrm{u}_{1} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{-1} \gamma \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathrm{a}_{1}^{-1} \gamma\left(\mathrm{a}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}-1 \gamma \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1} \\
& =1 \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1} \\
& =\mathrm{v}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again if $u_{2} \neq 0$, then $a_{2}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{2}=a_{2}{ }^{-1} \gamma\left(a_{2} \gamma v_{1}\right)=\left(a_{2}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{2}\right) \gamma v_{1}=1 \gamma v_{1}=v_{1}$. Therefore $a_{1}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{1}=a_{2}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{2}$
$\Rightarrow a_{1} \gamma\left(a_{1}^{-1} \gamma u_{1}\right)=a_{1} \gamma\left(a_{2}^{-1} \gamma u_{2}\right) \Rightarrow a_{1} \gamma a_{1}^{-1} \gamma u_{1}=a_{1} \gamma a_{2}^{-1} \gamma u_{2} \Rightarrow 1 \gamma u_{1}=a_{1} \gamma a_{2}^{-1} \gamma u_{2} \Rightarrow u_{1}=a_{1} \gamma a_{2}^{-1} \gamma u_{2}$. Thus the result holds.
Now suppose the result holds for all integer $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n}$.
Then we let, $u_{1}=a_{11} \gamma v_{1}+a_{12} \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+a_{1 n} \gamma v_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{2}=\mathrm{a}_{21} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
u_{n+1}=a_{n+1,1} \gamma v_{1}+a_{n+1,2} \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+a_{n+1, n} \gamma v_{n} \quad \ldots(n+1) .
$$

Since we can assume that no $u_{i}=0$ and we may assume $a_{1 n} \neq 0$. Then in $u_{1}=a_{11} \gamma v_{1}+a_{12} \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+a_{1 n} \gamma v_{n}$, we can solve for $v_{n}$ in terms of $u_{1}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}$. Therefore we get

$$
\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{n}} \gamma \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{u}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{11} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{12} \gamma \mathrm{v}_{2}-\ldots-\mathrm{a}_{1, \mathrm{n}-1} \gamma \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma\left(a_{1 n} \gamma v_{n}\right)=a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma\left(u_{1}-a_{11} \gamma v_{1}-a_{12} \gamma v_{2}-\ldots-a_{1, n-1} \gamma v_{n--1}\right)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{1 n}\right) \gamma v_{n}=a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{11} \gamma v_{1}-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{12} \gamma v_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n}-1 \gamma a_{1, n-1} \gamma v_{n-1}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow 1 \gamma v_{n}=a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}-a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{11} \gamma v_{1}-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{12} \gamma v_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{1, n-1} \gamma v_{n-1}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow v_{n}=a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{11} \gamma v_{1}-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{12} \gamma v_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{1, n-1} \gamma v_{n-1}
$$

Substituting this expression for $v_{n}$ in $u_{2}=a_{21} \gamma v_{1}+a_{22} \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+a_{2 n} \gamma v_{n}$ we get
$u_{2}=a_{21} \gamma v_{1}+a_{22} \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+a_{2 n} \gamma\left(a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}-a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma a_{11} \gamma v_{1}-a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{12} \gamma v_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{1, n-1} \gamma v_{n-1}\right)$
$\Rightarrow u_{2}=a_{2 n} \gamma v_{1} a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{1}+\left(a_{21}-a_{2 n} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{11}\right) \gamma v_{1}+\left(a_{22}-a_{2 n} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{12}\right) \gamma v_{2}+\ldots+\left(a_{2, n-1}-a_{2 n} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma a_{1, n-1}\right) \gamma v_{n-1}$
Therefore $u_{2}$ can be written in terms of $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, u_{1}$. Similarly $u_{3}, u_{4}, \ldots, u_{n+1}$ can be written in the terms of $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, u_{1}$. Then these substitutions we have
$u_{2}-a_{2 n} \gamma a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}, u_{3}-a_{3 n} \gamma a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n+1}-a_{n+1} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{1}$ written as linear $\Gamma$-combination of $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}$. Since $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set, then by induction we have that the $n$ vectors $u_{i}-a_{i n} \gamma a_{1 n}-$ ${ }^{1} \gamma u_{1}, i=2,3, \ldots,(n+1)$ are $\Gamma$-dependent. Thus there exist scalars $b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{n+1}$ not all zero such that
$b_{2} \gamma\left(u_{2}-a_{2 n} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{1}\right)+b_{3} \gamma\left(u_{3}-a_{3 n} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1} \gamma u_{1}\right)+\ldots+b_{n+1} \gamma\left(u_{n+1}-a_{n+1, n} \gamma a_{1 n^{-1}} \gamma u_{1}=0\right.$.
$\Rightarrow b_{2} \gamma u_{2}+b_{3} \gamma u_{3}+\ldots+b_{n+1} \gamma u_{n+1}+\left(-b_{2} \gamma a_{2 n} \gamma a_{1 n^{-1}}-\ldots-b_{n+1} \gamma a_{n+1, n} \gamma a_{1 n}{ }^{-1}\right) \gamma u_{1}=0$.

Hence $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right\}$ is a $\Gamma$-dependent set of vectors. Thus the theorem is proved.
2.14. Theorem. Let $V \neq 0$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Then $V$ has a basis.

Proof: Recall the definition of a $\Gamma$-independent set of vectors. Let F be the family of all $\Gamma$-independent subsets of $V$. Clearly $F$ is nonempty, for, if $\mathrm{v} \neq 0$, then $\{\mathrm{v}\}$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set. We partially order F by set inclusion, that is, $B_{1} \leq B_{2}$ if and only if $B_{1} \subseteq B_{2}$. Now let $C$ be a chain in $F$. Let $B=\underset{B_{i} \in C}{\bigcup} B_{i}$. Then $B$ is also a $\Gamma$-independent set. For, if it is not, we can find vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots . ., v_{k}$ in $B$ that are $\Gamma$-dependent. But there must be some $B_{i}$ that contains $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots . ., v_{k}$, since $B$ is just a union of a chain of sets. The $\Gamma$ dependence relation among $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots . ., \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in $B$ contradicts their $\Gamma$-independence in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Thus $B$ is $\Gamma$ independent and hence $B$ is an upper bound in $F$ for $C$.
By Zorn's Lemma, F has a maximal element H(say). We claim H is a basis for V. To see this, first observe $H$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set of vectors. Next, let $v \in V$. If $v$ is not a linear $\quad \Gamma$ - combination of vectors of H , then $\mathrm{H} \cup\{\mathrm{v}\}$ is a $\Gamma$-independent set, but this contradicts the maximality of H in F . Thus H is a maximal $\Gamma$-independent set of vectors. By Theorem 2.12, H is a basis of $V$. Hence the theorem is proved.
2.15 Definition. Let $V$ and $U$ be a left $\Gamma$-vector spaces over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Let $T: V \rightarrow U$ satisfy
(i) $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right)$ for all $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2} \in \mathrm{~V}$
(ii) $\mathrm{T}(\delta \gamma \mathrm{v})=\delta \gamma \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{v})$ for all $\delta \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Gamma, \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$.

We call T a linear $\Gamma$-transformation from V to U and we denote the set of all linear $\quad \Gamma$ transformations from V to U by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U}) . \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$ is an additive group.
For all $T, S \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U}), \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{T} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ are respectively defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (T+S)(x)=T(x)+S(x) \text { and } \\
& (T \gamma S)(x)=T(\gamma S(x)) \text { for all } x \in V \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.16. Theorem(Main Result-1). Let $V$ and $U$ be the left $\Gamma$-vector spaces over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, U)$ is a $\Gamma$-ring.
Proof: (1) Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$.
Then $\left(\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right) \gamma T_{3}\right)(x)=\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)\left(\gamma T_{3}(x)\right)$ for all $x \in V, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =T_{1}\left(\gamma T_{3}(x)\right)+T_{2}\left(\gamma T_{3}(x)\right) \\
& =\left(T_{1} \gamma T_{3}\right)(x)+\left(T_{2} \gamma T_{3}\right)(x) \\
& =\left(T_{1} \gamma T_{3}+T_{2} \gamma T_{3}\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}\right) \gamma \mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{T}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{~T}_{3}+\mathrm{T}_{2} \gamma \mathrm{~T}_{3} .
$$

Let $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, U)$.
Then $\left(T_{1}(\alpha+\beta) T_{2}\right)(x)=\left(T_{1}(\alpha+\beta) T_{2}\right)(x)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and all $x \in V$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =T_{1}\left((\alpha+\beta)\left(T_{2}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =T_{1}\left(\left(\alpha T_{2}(x)\right)+\left(\beta T_{2}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =T_{1}\left(\alpha T_{2}(x)\right)+T_{1}\left(\beta T_{2}(x)\right) \\
& =\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{2}\right)(x)+\left(T_{1} \beta T_{2}\right)(x) \\
& =\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{2}+T_{1} \beta T_{2}\right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1} \alpha \mathrm{~T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{1} \beta \mathrm{~T}_{2} .
$$

Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$.
Then $\left(T_{1} \alpha\left(T_{2}+T_{3}\right)(x)=T_{1}\left(\alpha\left(T_{2}+T_{3}\right)(x)\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =T_{1}\left(\alpha\left(T_{2}(x)+T_{3}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =T_{1}\left(\alpha T_{2}(x)+\alpha T_{3}(x)\right) \\
& =T_{1}\left(\alpha T_{2}(x)\right)+T_{1}\left(\alpha T_{3}(x)\right) \\
& =\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{2}\right)(x)+\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{3}\right)(x)=\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{2}+T_{1} \alpha T_{3}\right)(x) \\
\Rightarrow T_{1} \alpha\left(T_{1}+T_{3}\right) & =T_{1} \alpha T_{2}+T_{1} \alpha T_{3} \text { for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text { and } x \in V .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$.

Then $\left(\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{2}\right) \beta T_{3}\right)(x)=\left(T_{1} \alpha T_{2}\right)\left(\beta T_{3}(x)\right)$

$$
=\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\alpha \mathrm{~T}_{2}\left(\beta \mathrm{~T}_{3}(\mathrm{x})\right)\right)
$$

Again, $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \alpha\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \beta \mathrm{~T}_{3}\right)\right)(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\alpha\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \beta \mathrm{~T}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{x})\right)$

$$
\left.=\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\alpha \mathrm{~T}_{2}\left(\beta \mathrm{~T}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{x})\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Thus } \left.\left(\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \alpha \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right) \beta \mathrm{T}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{T}_{1} \alpha\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \beta \mathrm{~T}_{3}\right)\right)(\mathrm{x}) \\
& \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \alpha \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right) \beta \mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{T}_{1} \alpha\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \beta \mathrm{~T}_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$ satisfies all the conditions of a $\Gamma$-ring. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$ is a $\Gamma$-ring. Thus the theorem is proved.
2.17 Theorem (Main Result-2): Let $V$ and $U$ be the left $\Gamma$-vector spaces with finite dimension $n$ and $m$ respectively over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$ and the set of all $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrices $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}$.
Proof: Let $E=\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be a basis of $V$ and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, U)$. Then for any $v \in V, T(v)$ is completely determined, if we know $T\left(e_{i}\right)$ for all $e_{i} \in E$. For if $v \in V, v \neq 0$, then there exist unique non zero scalars $\delta_{1}$, $\delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{\mathrm{k}}$ in $\Delta$ and unique vectors $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}, \ldots ., \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ in E such that

$$
\mathrm{v}=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots .+\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}} \text { for unique } \gamma \in \Gamma
$$

Then $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{T}\left(\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots .+\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)$

$$
=\mathrm{T}\left(\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{T}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right) \text {. by (i) of definition } 2.15
$$

$$
=\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}\right)+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right)+\ldots+\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right) \text {, by (ii) of definition 2.15. }
$$

Moreover, it is possible to define a linear $\Gamma$-transformation $T^{\prime}$ from $V$ to $U$ simply by defining the action of $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ on each of the $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's and extending this definition according to (i) and (ii) of 3.15; i.e., for each $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in $E$, let $T^{\prime}\left(e_{i}\right)$ be any vector of $U$. Once we have defined $T^{\prime}\left(e_{i}\right)$, now we define for $v \in V$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}^{\prime}(\mathrm{v})= & \mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\ldots .+\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}\right)+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right)+\ldots .+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right) \\
& =\delta_{1} \gamma \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}\right)+\delta_{2} \gamma \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right)+\ldots .+\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$, defined in this manner, is indeed a linear $\Gamma$-transformation.
We now restrict our attention to the case where $V$ and $U$ are both finite dimensional. Thus let $\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}\right.$, . $\left.\ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a basis of $V$ and $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots . ., f_{m}\right\}$ be a basis of $U$, and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, U)$. Then for each $i, T\left(e_{i}\right)$ has a unique representation

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\delta_{\mathrm{i} 1} \gamma_{11} \mathrm{f}_{1}+\delta_{\mathrm{i} 2} \gamma_{22} \mathrm{f}_{2}+\ldots+\delta_{\mathrm{im}} \gamma_{\mathrm{m} m} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

which we shall denote by $\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \delta_{\mathrm{ij}} \gamma_{\mathrm{jj}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$, where for unique $\gamma_{\mathrm{ij}} \in \Gamma$. Given the scalars $\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}$; where $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{j}=1,2,3, \ldots$, m , we associate the following rectangular array with T :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\delta_{11} & \delta_{12} & \ldots \ldots . & \delta_{1 \mathrm{~m}} \\
\delta_{21} & \delta_{22} & \ldots \ldots & \delta_{2 \mathrm{~m}} \\
\prime & \prime & \prime \\
\prime & \prime & \prime \\
\prime & \prime & \\
\delta_{\mathrm{n} 1} & \delta_{\mathrm{n} 2} & \ldots \ldots & \delta_{\mathrm{nm}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This array is called an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrix with coefficient in $\Delta$, and we abbreviate it by $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}}$ or by $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$. Thus we see that given basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ for $V,\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots . ., f_{m}\right\}$ for $U$, and linear $\Gamma$-transformation $T$, we obtain an $n \times m$ matrix. Conversely, if we are given an $n \times m$ matrix $\left(\delta_{i j}\right) \in \Delta_{n, m}$, we define a linear $\Gamma$ transformation $T^{\prime}: V \rightarrow U$ in terms of the basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots . ., f_{m}\right\}$, as follows:
$\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \delta_{\mathrm{ij}} \gamma_{\mathrm{jj}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Thus there exists a 1-1 correspondence between the set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U})$ and $\Delta_{n, m}$, the set of all $n \times m$ matrices. Thus the lemma is proved.
Of our Special interest in the important situation where $V=U$ and we study $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, V)$. Thus in particular case we have the following theorem:
2.18 Theorem (An Immediate Consequence of Theorem 3.17). Let $V$ be an $n$ dimensional left $\Gamma$ vector space over a division $\Gamma$-ring $\Delta$. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between the set $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}$ of all $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices over $\Delta$ and the set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, V)$.
2.19 Remark. The correspondence of the above Theorem is the desired $\Gamma$-ring isomorphism of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V})$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}$. i.e., $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}) \cong \Delta_{\mathrm{n}}$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V})$ is a $\Gamma$-ring, then $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}$ is also a $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$-ring.
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